	Case 4:07-cv-01681-SBA	Document 52	Filed 02/05/2008	Page 1 of 2
				¥
1 2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			140-21-3
3			ુ દા	RICHARD W. WAS ERK, U.S. DIST ERN DISTRICTION
4			∍ятн	ERN DISTA
5	Demetrius L. Harvey	}		
6		}	CASE NO. CO	7- 01681- MJJ
7	Plaintiff,	}		
8	vs. City of Oakland, et al	}		
9		{		
10	Defendants.	}		
11				
12				
13				
14	above-entitled action. That on February 1, 2008, I served a true and correct copy of the attached: CLERK'S NOTICE by placing such paper(s) in a postage paid envelope to the person listed below, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail.			
15 16				of the attached: CLERK'S
17				o the person fisted below,
18				
19	Rachel Wagner ONE FRANK H. OGAWA PLAZA, 6 TH FLOOR OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612		OOR	
20				
21				
22			<u> </u>	\cap
23	Chinyere Snowden 360 S. Helm Ave.			
24				
25			Fresno, Ca. 9372	<i>41</i>
26				
27				
28				

Document 51

27

28

1			
2	1		
3	HARVEY,		
4			
5	Plaintiff		
6	v.		
7	CITY OF OAKLAND		
8	Defenda ————————————————————————————————————		
9			
10	(Plaintiff is requ		
11	listed on the atta		
12	Unfortunately, YOU A		
13	Tuesday, January 29, 2		
14	date and time will be is		
15			
16	Dated: January 28, 200		
17	Dated. January 20, 200		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	Dlease refer to Judge Je		
23	Please refer to Judge Je information. Pursuant to not change the date on v		
24	due not less than 21 day not less than 14 days pr		
25	not loss than 14 days pr		
26			

Filed 01/28/2008

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

(s),

No. C07-01681 MJJ

Page 1 of 1

Clerk's Notice

), et al.,

Case 3:07-cv-01681-MJJ

int(s),

aired to serve, and file proof of service with the Court, any party involved not ached proof of service.)

RE NOTIFIED THAT the Case Management Conference scheduled for 008, before The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins, is vacated. A new hearing sued upon reassignment of another judge.

8

FOR THE COURT,

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

Courtroom Deputy

nkins' Standing Order located at www.cand.uscourts.gov for additional to the Standing Order, the rescheduling of a hearing date for a motion does which an opposition brief or reply brief is due; any opposition brief remains ys prior to the date of the originally noticed hearing and any reply brief is due ior to the originally noticed hearing date.